arXiv:1407.0682v2 [math.NT] 5 Jul 2014

INFINITE SUMSETS WITH MANY REPRESENTATIONS

MELVYN B. NATHANSON

To Helmut Maier on his 60th birthday

Abstract. Let A be an infinite set of nonnegative integers. For $h \geq 2$, let hA be the set of all sums of h not necessarily distinct elements of A. If every sufficiently large integer in the sumset hA has at least two representations, then $A(x) \geq (\log x)/\log h) - w_0$, where $A(x)$ counts the number of integers $a \in A$ such that $1 \leq a \leq x$.

1. Representation functions of sumsets

Let A be a set of integers and let $h \geq 2$ be an integer. The *counting function* $A(x)$ counts the number of positive integers in the set A that do not exceed x. The h-fold sumset hA is the set of all integers n that can be written as sums of h not necessarily distinct elements of A. For every integer n, the *representation function* $r_{A,h}(n)$ counts the number of h-tuples $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_h) \in A^h$ such that

$$a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_h$$

and

$$a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_h = n.$$

A *Sidon set* is a set A of nonnegative integers such that every element in the sumset $2A$ has a unique representation, that is, $r_{A,2}(n) = 1$ for all $n \in 2A$. More generally, for positive integers h and s, a $B_{h,s}$-set is a set A of nonnegative integers such that $r_{A,h}(n) \leq s$ for all $n \in hA$. Sets whose sumsets have few representations have been studied intensively (cf. Halbertam-Roth [2], O'Bryant [4]).

In this paper we consider sets whose h-fold sumsets have many representations. A basic result is that if $h \geq 2$ and A is an infinite set of nonnegative integers with $r_{A,h}(n) \geq 2$ for all sufficiently large integers $n \in hA$, then

$$A(x) \gg \log x.$$

In the special case $h = 2$, Balasubramanian and Prakesh [1] proved that there is a number $c > 0$ such that, if A is an infinite set of nonnegative integers with $r_{A,2}(n) \geq 2$ for all sufficiently large integers $n \in 2A$, then

$$A(x) \geq c \left(\frac{\log x}{\log \log x} \right)^2.$$

Date: July 8, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B05, 11B13, 11B34.

Key words and phrases. Sumsets, representation functions, density, additive bases.

This work was supported in part by grants from the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program.

This improved a previous result of Nicolas, Ruzsa, and Särkòzy [3], who also proved the existence of an infinite set A of nonnegative integers with $r_{A,2}(n) \geq 2$ for all sufficiently large integers $n \in 2A$ such that

$$A(x) \ll (\log x)^2.$$

It is an open problem to extend these results to h-fold sumsets for $h \geq 3$.

Acknowledgements. I thank Michael Filaseta for bringing these problems to my attention, and Quan-Hui Yang for the reference to the paper of Balasubramanian and Prakesh.

2. Growth of sets with many representations

Let $[u, v)$ denote the interval of integers i such that $u \leq i < v$. Let $|X|$ denote the cardinality of the set X.

Theorem 1. *Let $h \geq 2$ be an integer, and let A be an infinite set of nonnegative integers. If $r_{A,h}(n) \geq 2$ for all sufficiently large integers $n \in hA$, then there is a positive number w_0 such that*

$$A(x) > \frac{\log x}{\log h} - w_0$$

for all $x \geq h$.

Proof. For every positive integer k, let

$$I_k = \left[h^{k-1}, h^k\right)$$

and

$$A_k = A \cap I_k.$$

The sets $\{A_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ partition $A \setminus \{0\}$.

There exists a positive integer n_0 such that, if $n \geq n_0$ and $n \in hA$, then $r_{A,h}(n) \geq 2$. Because A is infinite, there exists $a_0 \in A$ with $ha_0 \geq n_0$. Choose k_0 such that $a_0 \in A_{k_0}$.

Suppose that $k \geq k_0$ and $A_k \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$a_k^* = \max(A_k).$$

Then

$$h^{k-1} \leq a_k^* < h^k$$

and

$$A \cap \left[a_k^* + 1, h^k\right) = \emptyset.$$

Consider the integer

$$ha_k^* \in hA.$$

Because $a_k^* \geq a_0$, we have $ha_k^* \geq ha_0 \geq n_0$, and so $r_{A,h}(ha_k^*) \geq 2$. It follows that the set A contains nonnegative integers $a_1, \ldots, a_h$ such that

$$a_1 < a_h \tag{1}$$

$$a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_h \tag{2}$$

and

$$a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_h = ha_k^*.$$

Because A is a set of nonnegative integers, we have

$$a_h \leq ha_k^*.$$

Inequalities (1) and (2) imply that

$$ha_k^* = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_h < ha_h$$

and so

$$a_k^* < a_h \leq ha_k^* < h^{k+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$a_k^* + 1 \leq a_h < h^{k+1}.$$

Equivalently,

$$a_h \in \left[a_k^* + 1, h^{k+1}\right) = \left[a_k^* + 1, h^k\right) \cup A_{k+1}.$$

Because $A \cap \left[a_k^* + 1, h^k\right) = \emptyset$, we see that $a_h \in A_{k+1}$ and so $A_{k+1} \neq \emptyset$. It follows by induction that $A_k \neq \emptyset$ for all $k \geq k_0$.

Let $x \geq h$, and choose the positive integer t such that

$$h^t \leq x < h^{t+1}.$$

Because

$$A \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$$

it follows that

$$A(x) \geq A\left(h^t\right) \geq t - (k_0 - 1) > \frac{\log x}{\log h} - k_0$$

for all $x \geq h$. Let $w_0 = k_0$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2. *Let $\ell \geq 2$ be an integer, and let A be an infinite set of nonnegative integers. If $r_{A,2}(n) \geq \ell$ for all sufficiently large integers $n \in 2A$, then there is a positive number w_0 such that*

$$A(x) > \frac{(\ell - 1)\log x}{\log 2} - w_0$$

for all $x \geq 2$.

Proof. For every positive integer k, let

$$I_k = \left[2^{k-1}, 2^k\right)$$

and

$$A_k = A \cap I_k.$$

The sets $\{A_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ partition $A \setminus \{0\}$.

There exists a positive integer n_0 such that if $n \geq n_0$ and $n \in 2A$, then $r_{A,2}(n) \geq \ell$. Because A is infinite, there exists $a_0 \in A$ with $2a_0 \geq n_0$. Choose k_0 such that $a_0 \in A_{k_0}$.

Suppose that $k \geq k_0$ and $A_k \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$a_k^* = \max(A_k).$$

Then

$$2^{k-1} \leq a_k^* < 2^k$$

and

$$A \cap \left[a_k^* + 1, 2^k\right) = \emptyset.$$

Consider the integer

$$2a_k^* \in 2A.$$

Because $a_k^* \geq a_0$, we have $2a_k^* \geq 2a_0 \geq n_0$, and so $r_{A,2}(2a_k^*) \geq \ell$. It follows that the set A contains a subset

$$\{a_{i,j} : i = 1, 2 \text{ and } j = 1, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$$

such that, for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1$,

$$a_{1,j} < a_{2,j}$$

$$a_{1,1} < a_{2,1} < \cdots < a_{\ell-1,1} < a_k^* < a_{\ell-1,2} < \cdots < a_{2,2} < a_{1,2}$$

and

$$a_{1,j} + a_{2,j} = 2a_k^*$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1$. Moreover, $a_{1,j} \geq 0$ implies that

$$a_{2,j} \leq 2a_k^*$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1$.

Because $0 \leq a_{1,j} < a_{2,j}$, we have

$$2a_k^* = a_{1,j} + a_{2,j} < 2a_{i,2}$$

and so

$$a_k^* < a_{2,j} \leq 2a_k^* < 2^{k+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$a_k^* + 1 \leq a_{2,j} < 2^{k+1}.$$

Equivalently,

$$a_{2,j} \in \left[a_k^* + 1, 2^{k+1}\right) = \left[a_k^* + 1, 2^k\right) \cup A_{k+1}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1$. Because $A \cap \left[a_k^* + 1, 2^k\right) = \emptyset$, we see that $a_{2,j} \in A_{k+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1$, and so $|A_{k+1}| \geq \ell - 1$. It follows by induction that $|A_k| \geq \ell - 1$ for all $k \geq k_0 + 1$.

Let $x \geq 2$, and choose the positive integer t such that

$$2^t \leq x < 2^{t+1}.$$

Because

$$A \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &\geq A\left(2^t\right) \geq (\ell - 1)(t - k_0) \\ &> (\ell - 1)\left(\frac{\log x}{\log 2} - k_0 - 1\right) \\ &= \frac{(\ell - 1)\log x}{\log 2} - w_0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \geq 2$. Let $w_0 = (\ell - 1)(k_0 + 1)$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3. *Let $h \geq 2$ and $\ell \geq 2$ be integers, and let A be an infinite $B_{h-1,s}$ set of nonnegative integers. If $r_{A,2}(n) \geq \ell$ for all sufficiently large integers $n \in hA$, then there is a positive number w_0 such that*

$$A(x) > \frac{(\ell - 1)\log x}{s \log h} - w_0$$

for all $x \geq h$.

Proof. For every positive integer k, let

$$I_k = \left[h^{k-1}, h^k\right)$$

and

$$A_k = A \cap I_k.$$

The sets $\{A_k : k = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ partition $A \setminus \{0\}$.

There exists a positive integer n_0 such that, if $n \geq n_0$ and $n \in hA$, then $r_{A,h}(n) \geq \ell$. Because A is infinite, there exists $a_0 \in A$ with $ha_0 \geq n_0$. Choose k_0 such that $a_0 \in A_{k_0}$.

Suppose that $k \geq k_0$ and $A_k \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$a_k^* = \max(A_k).$$

Then

$$h^{k-1} \leq a_k^* < h^k$$

and

$$A \cap \left[a_k^* + 1, h^k\right) = \emptyset.$$

Consider the integer

$$ha_k^* \in hA.$$

Because $a_k^* \geq a_0$, we have $ha_k^* \geq ha_0 \geq n_0$, and so $r_{A,h}(ha_k^*) \geq \ell$. It follows that the set A contains a subset

$$\{a_{i,j} : i = 1, \ldots, h \text{ and } j = 1, \ldots, \ell - 1\}$$

such that, for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1$,

$$a_{1,j} < a_{h,j} \tag{3}$$

$$a_{1,j} \leq a_{2,j} \leq \cdots \leq a_{h-1,j} \leq a_{h,j} \tag{4}$$

$$a_{1,j} + a_{2,j} + \cdots + a_{h-1,j} + a_{h,j} = ha_k^*$$

and

$$(a_{1,j}, a_{2,j}, \ldots + a_{h-1,j}, a_{h,j}) \neq (a_{1,j'}, a_{2,j'}, \ldots + a_{h-1,j'}, a_{h,j'})$$

for $1 \leq j < j' \leq \ell - 1$. Moreover, for $i = 1, \ldots, h-1$ and $j = 1, \ldots, \ell - 1$, the inequality $a_{i,j} \geq 0$ implies that

$$a_{h,j} \leq ha_k^*$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1$.

Let $b \in A$ and let J be a subset of $\{1, ..., \ell - 1\}$ such that $a_{h,j} = b$ for all $j \in J$. If $j \in J$, then

$$a_{1,j} + a_{2,j} + \cdots + a_{h-1,j} = ha_k^* - a_{h,j} = ha_k^* - b$$

and so

$$r_{A,h-1}(ha_k^* - b) \geq |J|.$$

Because A is a $B_{h-1,s}$-set, we have

$$r_{A,h-1}(ha_k^* - b) \leq s$$

and so $|J| \leq s$. The pigeonhole principle implies that

$$|\{a_{h,j} : j = 1, \ldots, \ell - 1\}| \geq \frac{\ell - 1}{s}.$$

It follows from inequalities (3) and (4) that

$$ha_k^* = a_{1,j} + a_{2,j} + \cdots + a_{h,j} < ha_{h,j}$$

and so

$$a_k^* < a_{h,j} \leq h a_k^* < h^{k+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$a_k^* + 1 \leq a_{h,j} < h^{k+1}.$$

Equivalently,

$$a_{h,j} \in \left[a_k^* + 1, h^{k+1}\right) = \left[a_k^* + 1, h^k\right) \cup A_{k+1}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1$. Because $A \cap \left[a_k^* + 1, h^k\right) = \emptyset$, we see that

$$\{a_{h,j} : j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1\} \subseteq A_{k+1}$$

and so

$$|A_{k+1}| \geq \frac{\ell - 1}{s}.$$

It follows by induction that $|A_k| \geq (\ell - 1)/s$ for all $k \geq k_0 + 1$.

Let $x \geq h$, and choose the positive integer t such that

$$h^t \leq x < h^{t+1}.$$

Because

$$A \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) \geq A\left(h^t\right) &\geq \left(\frac{\ell - 1}{s}\right)(j - k_0) \\ &> \left(\frac{\ell - 1}{s}\right)\left(\frac{\log x}{\log h} - k_0 - 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

for $x \geq h$. Let $w_0 = (\ell - 1)(k_0 + 1)/s$. This completes the proof.

□

References

[1] R. Balasubramanian and G. Prakash, *On an additive representation function*, J. Number Theory **104** (2004), 327–334.

[2] H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, *Sequences, Vol. 1*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1966, Reprinted by Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, in 1983.

[3] J.-L. Nicolas, I. Z. Ruzsa, and A. Sárközy, *On the parity of additive representation functions*, J. Number Theory **73** (1998), 292–317, With an appendix in French by J.-P. Serre.

[4] K. O'Bryant, *A complete annotated bibliography of work related to Sidon sequences*, Electronic J. Combinatorics (2004), Dynamic Surveys DS 11.

Department of Mathematics, Lehman College (CUNY), Bronx, NY 10468

E-mail address: melvyn.nathanson@lehman.cuny.edu